

FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR A PAIR OF MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN QUASI METRIC SPACES VIA NEW APPROACH

Abdullah Shoaib¹, Shaif Saleh Alshoraify², Muhammad Arshad³

In this paper, our purpose is to show that multivalued mappings satisfying new generalized contraction on the intersection of an open ball and a sequence involving rational expression have a common fixed point in left K -sequentially complete quasi metric space. We consider an illustrative example. Moreover, we apply our results to obtain results endowed with a graph and an order in quasi metric spaces.

Keywords: common fixed point; left K -sequentially quasi metric space; open ball; multivalued mappings; graph; order.

MSC2010: 54H25; 47H10.

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory (see [1]-[28]) has been an active research field over the last six decades. One of the generalizations of the metric space is the quasi metric space that was introduced by Wilson [28]. The commutativity condition does not hold in general in a quasi metric space. Several authors used these concepts to prove some fixed point theorems, see [8, 14].

Nadler [15] initiated the study of fixed point theory for multivalued mappings. Since then, an interesting and rich fixed point theory for such mappings was developed in many directions, see [3, 6, 27]. Arshad et al. [4] observed that there was mappings which had fixed point but there was no any result to ensure the existence of fixed point of such mappings. They introduced a contraction on closed ball to achieve common fixed points for such mappings. For further results on closed balls, see (see [4, 5, 17, 18, 21, 25, 26]).

In this paper, we have extended the result of Altun et al. [2] in five different ways by using

- (i) multivalued mappings instead of single-valued mappings;
- (ii) open ball instead of whole space;
- (iii) new generalized contraction instead of Banach type contraction;
- (iv) left K -sequentially complete quasi metric space instead of complete metric space.
- (v) generalized function $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ instead of partial order relation.

We apply our result to obtain results in ordered spaces and spaces endowed with a graph. We recall the following definitions and results which will be useful to understand the paper.

Definition 1.1 [2] Let $\mu \in \Psi$ and Ψ denotes the set of functions $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions:

- (Ψ_1) μ is non-decreasing.

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Riphah International University, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan, e-mail: abdullahshoaib15@yahoo.com

²PhD scholar, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan, e-mail: shaifsaleh21@gmail.com

³Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan, e-mail: marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk

(Ψ_2) For all $t > 0$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k(t) < \infty$, where μ^k is the k^{th} iterate of μ . The function $\mu \in \Psi$ is called comparison function.

Lemma 1.1 [2] Let $\mu \in \Psi$. Then

- (i) $\mu(t) < t$, for all $t > 0$,
- (ii) $\mu(0) = 0$.

Definition 1.3 [28] Let X be a non empty set and $q : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, which satisfies:

- (d₁) $q(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (d₂) $q(x, y) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)$.

Then q is called a quasi metric and the pair (X, q) is called a quasi metric space. For $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_q(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : q(x, y) < \varepsilon \text{ and } q(y, x) < \varepsilon\}$ and $\overline{B_q(x, \varepsilon)} = \{y \in X : q(x, y) \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } q(y, x) \leq \varepsilon\}$ are open ball and closed ball in (X, q) respectively.

Reilly et al. [20] introduced the notion of left (right) K -Cauchy sequence and left (right) K -sequentially complete spaces.

Definition 1.4 [20] Let (X, q) be a quasi metric space.

- (a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, q) is called left (right) K -Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ (respectively $q(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$).
- (b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, q) converges to x , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x, x) = 0$. In this case, the point x is called a limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.
- (c) (X, q) is called left (right) K -sequentially complete if every left (right) K -Cauchy sequence in q -converges to a point $x \in X$ such that $q(x, x) = 0$.

Definition 1.5 [27] Let (X, q) be a quasi metric space. Let K be a non empty subset of X and let $x \in X$. An element $y_0 \in K$ is called a best approximation in K if

$$q(x, K) = q(x, y_0); \text{ where } q(x, K) = \inf \{q(x, y), y \in K\},$$

$$\text{and } q(K, x) = q(y_0, x), \text{ where } q(K, x) = \inf \{q(y, x), y \in K\}.$$

If each $x \in X$ has at least one best approximation in K , then K is called a proximal set. We denote $P(X)$ be the set of all proximal subsets of X .

Definition 1.6 [27] The function $H_q : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow X$, defined by

$$H_q(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} q(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} q(y, A) \right\},$$

is called quasi Hausdorff metric on $P(X)$. Also $(P(X), H_q)$ is known as quasi Hausdorff metric space.

Lemma 1.2 [27] Let (X, q) be a quasi metric space. Let $(P(X), H_q)$ be quasi Hausdorff metric space on $P(X)$. Then for all $A, B \in P(X)$ and for each $a \in A$ there exists $b_a \in B$, such that $q(a, b) \leq H_q(A, B)$ and $H_q(B, A) \geq q(b_a, a)$.

Definition 1.7 Let X be a non empty set and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a mapping such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, x) \geq 1$ implies $x = y$. Let $M \subseteq X$, define $\alpha^*(x, M) = \inf \{\alpha(x, a), a \in M\}$ and $\alpha^*(M, y) = \inf \{\alpha(b, y), b \in M\}$.

Lemma 1.3 [27] Every closed set Y in a left (right) K -sequentially complete quasi metric space X is left (right) K -sequentially complete.

2. Main result

Let (X, q) be a quasi metric space, $x_0 \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a multivalued mapping on X . As Tx_0 is a proximal set, then there exists $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $q(x_0, Tx_0) = q(x_0, x_1)$ and $q(Tx_0, x_0) = q(x_1, x_0)$. Now, for $x_1 \in X$, there exist $x_2 \in Tx_1$

such that $q(x_1, Tx_1) = q(x_1, x_2)$ and $q(Tx_1, x_1) = q(x_2, x_1)$. Continuing this process, we construct a sequence x_n of points in X such that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, $q(x_n, Tx_n) = q(x_n, x_{n+1})$ and $q(Tx_n, x_n) = q(x_{n+1}, x_n)$. We denote this iterative sequence $\{XT(x_n)\}$ and say that $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in X generated by x_0 .

Theorem 2.1 *Let (X, q) be a left K -sequentially complete quasi metric space, $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be the multivalued mappings, $\mu \in \Psi$, $x_0 \in X$, $r > 0$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Suppose that the following assumptions hold:*

(i) *For every $x, y \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1$, $\alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$, we have*

$$\max\{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y)), \quad (1)$$

where

$$P_q(x, y) = \max\left\{q(x, y), q(x, Tx), \frac{q(x, Tx)q(x, Ty) + q(y, Ty)q(y, Tx)}{q(x, Ty) + q(y, Tx)}\right\}.$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \max\{\mu^i(q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^i(q(x_0, x_1))\} < r, \text{ for all } j \in N \cup \{0\}. \quad (2)$$

(iii) *If $x \in B_q(x_0, r)$, $q(x, Tx) = q(x, y)$ and $q(Tx, x) = q(y, x)$, then*

(a) $\alpha^*(x, Sx) \geq 1$, implies $\alpha^*(Sy, y) \geq 1$, (b) $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1$, implies $\alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$.

(iv) *The set $G(S) = \{x : \alpha^*(x, Sx) \geq 1 \text{ and } x \in B_q(x_0, r)\}$ is closed and contained x_0 .*

Then the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^ \in G(S)$. Also, if inequality (1) holds for $x, y \in \{x^*\}$, then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_q(x_0, r)$.*

Proof. As x_0 be an arbitrary element of $G(S)$, from condition (iv) $\alpha^*(x_0, Sx_0) \geq 1$. Consider the sequence $\{XT(x_n)\}$. Then there exists $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that

$$q(x_0, Tx_0) = q(x_0, x_1) \text{ and } q(Tx_0, x_0) = q(x_1, x_0).$$

From condition (iii) $\alpha^*(Sx_1, x_1) \geq 1$. In particular, (2) holds for $j = 0$, so

$$\max\{q(x_1, x_0), q(x_0, x_1)\} < r.$$

Therefore $q(x_1, x_0) < r$ and $q(x_0, x_1) < r$. Hence $x_1 \in B_q(x_0, r)$. Let $x_2, \dots, x_j \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$, $\alpha^*(x_j, Sx_j) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(Sx_{j+1}, x_{j+1}) \geq 1$, for some $j \in N$, where $j = 2i$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, \frac{j}{2}$. Now by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) &\leq H_q(Tx_{2i-1}, Tx_{2i}) \\ &\leq \max\{H_q(Tx_{2i-1}, Tx_{2i}), H_q(Tx_{2i}, Tx_{2i-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i} \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$, $\alpha^*(x_{2i}, Sx_{2i}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i-1}, x_{2i-1}) \geq 1$, then by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) &\leq \mu\left(\max\{q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}), q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})q(x_{2i-1}, Tx_{2i}) + q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})q(x_{2i}, Tx_{2i-1})}{q(x_{2i-1}, Tx_{2i}) + q(x_{2i}, Tx_{2i-1})}\right\}, \\ q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) &\leq \mu(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Which implies that

$$q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \leq \max\{\mu(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})), \mu(q(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}))\}. \quad (4)$$

Again by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &\leq H_q(Tx_{2i-2}, Tx_{2i-1}) \\ &\leq \max\{H_q(Tx_{2i-2}, Tx_{2i-1}), H_q(Tx_{2i-1}, Tx_{2i-2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2} \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XTx_n\}$, $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i-1}, x_{2i-1}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(x_{2i-2}, Sx_{2i-2}) \geq 1$, then by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &\leq \mu(\max\{q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2}), q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}), q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1})\}) \\ &= \mu(\max\{q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2}), q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1})\}). \end{aligned}$$

As μ is non decreasing function, so

$$\mu(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})) \leq \max\{\mu^2(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2})), \mu^2(q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1}))\}. \quad (5)$$

Using (5) in (3), then

$$q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \leq \max\{\mu^2(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2})), \mu^2(q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1}))\}. \quad (6)$$

Now, by Lemma 1.2

$$q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1}) \leq H_q(Tx_{2i-3}, Tx_{2i-2}).$$

As $x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2} \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XTx_n\}$, $\alpha^*(x_{2i-2}, Sx_{2i-2}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i-3}, x_{2i-3}) \geq 1$, then by (1), we have

$$q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1}) \leq \mu(q(x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2})). \quad (7)$$

Which implies that

$$\mu^2(q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1})) \leq \mu^2(\mu(\max\{q(x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2}), q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-3})\})). \quad (8)$$

Now, by Lemma 1.2

$$q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2}) \leq H_q(Tx_{2i-2}, Tx_{2i-3}).$$

As $x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2} \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XTx_n\}$, $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i-3}, x_{2i-3}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(x_{2i-2}, Sx_{2i-2}) \geq 1$, then by (1), we have

$$q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2}) \leq \mu(\max\{q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-3}), q(x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2})\}).$$

As μ is non decreasing function, so

$$\mu^2(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2})) \leq \mu^2(\mu(\max\{q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-3}), q(x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2})\})). \quad (9)$$

Combining inequalities (6), (8) and (9), we have

$$q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \leq \max\{\mu^3 q(x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2}), \mu^3 q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-3})\}. \quad (10)$$

Following the patterns of inequalities (4), (6) and (10), we have

$$q(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \leq \max\{\mu^{2i}(q(x_0, x_1)), \mu^{2i}(q(x_1, x_0))\}. \quad (11)$$

Also, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}) \leq H_q(Tx_{2i}, Tx_{2i-1}).$$

As $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i} \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$, $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i-1}, x_{2i-1}) \geq 1$, and $\alpha^*(x_{2i}, Sx_{2i}) \geq 1$, then by (1), we have

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}) \leq \mu(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})), \quad (12)$$

which implies

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}) \leq \max\{\mu(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})), \mu(q(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}))\}. \quad (13)$$

Using (5) in (12)

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}) \leq \max\{\mu^2(q(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i-2})), \mu^2(q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-1}))\}. \quad (14)$$

Combining the inequalities (8), (9) and (14), we have

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}) \leq \max\{\mu^3 q(x_{2i-3}, x_{2i-2}), \mu^3 q(x_{2i-2}, x_{2i-3})\}. \quad (15)$$

Following the patterns of inequalities (13), (14) and (15), we have

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}) \leq \max\{\mu^{2i}(q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^{2i}(q(x_0, x_1))\}. \quad (16)$$

Now, by using the inequalities (11), (2) and triangle inequality, we have

$$q(x_0, x_{2i+1}) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{2i} \max \{ \mu^j q(x_1, x_0), \mu^j q(x_0, x_1) \} < r. \quad (17)$$

Similarly, by using inequalities (16), (2) and triangle inequality, we have

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_0) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{2i} \max \{ \mu^j (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^j (q(x_0, x_1)) \} < r. \quad (18)$$

By inequality (17) and (18), we have $x_{2i+1} \in B_q(x_0, r)$. Also $q(x_{2i+1}, Tx_{2i+1}) = q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})$ and $q(Tx_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) = q(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$. As $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i+1}, x_{2i+1}) \geq 1$, so from condition (iii), we have $\alpha^*(x_{2i+2}, Sx_{2i+2}) \geq 1$. Similarly, we have

$$q(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \leq \max \{ \mu^{2i+1} (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^{2i+1} (q(x_0, x_1)) \}. \quad (19)$$

and

$$q(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1}) \leq \max \{ \mu^{2i+1} (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^{2i+1} (q(x_0, x_1)) \}. \quad (20)$$

Also,

$$q(x_0, x_{2i+2}) \leq r \text{ and } q(x_{2i+2}, x_0) \leq r.$$

It following that $x_{2i+2} \in B_q(x_0, r)$. Also

$$q(x_{2i+2}, Tx_{2i+2}) = q(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+3}) \text{ and } q(Tx_{2i+2}, x_{2i+2}) = q(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+2}).$$

As $\alpha^*(x_{2i+2}, Sx_{2i+2}) \geq 1$, so from condition (iii) we have $\alpha^*(Sx_{2i+3}, x_{2i+3}) \geq 1$. Hence by mathematical induction $x_n \in B_q(x_0, r)$, $\alpha^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(Sx_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $x_{2n} \in G(S)$. Now inequalities (11), (16), (19) and (20) can be written as

$$q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \max \{ \mu^n (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^n (q(x_0, x_1)) \}, \quad (21)$$

$$q(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \max \{ \mu^n (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^n (q(x_0, x_1)) \}, \quad (22)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $k_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{k \geq k_1(\varepsilon)} \max \{ \mu^k (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^k (q(x_0, x_1)) \} < \varepsilon.$$

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n > k_1(\varepsilon)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} q(x_k, x_{k+1}), \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \max \{ \mu^k (q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^k (q(x_0, x_1)) \}, \\ q(x_n, x_m) &< \sum_{k \geq k_1(\varepsilon)} \max \{ \mu^k q(x_1, x_0), \mu^k q(x_0, x_1) \} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved that $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a left K -Cauchy sequence in (X, q) . As (X, q) is left K sequentially complete, so $\{XT(x_n)\} \rightarrow x^* \in X$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x_{2n}, x^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x^*, x_{2n}) = 0. \quad (23)$$

As $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{XT(x_n)\}$, so $x_{2n} \rightarrow x^*$. Also, $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$ and $G(S)$ is closed, so $x^* \in G(S)$ and therefore

$$\alpha^*(x^*, Sx^*) \geq 1. \quad (24)$$

Now

$$q(x^*, x^*) \leq q(x^*, x_{2n}) + q(x_{2n}, x^*).$$

Which implies that $q(x^*, x^*) = 0$. Now, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$q(x^*, Tx^*) \leq q(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + H_q(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx^*).$$

By assumption, inequality (1) holds for x^* . Also $\alpha^*(Sx_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(x^*, Sx^*) \geq 1$, so

$$q(x^*, Tx^*) \leq q(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + \mu \left(\max \left\{ q(x_{2n+1}, x^*), q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \frac{q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})q(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*) + q(x^*, Tx^*)q_b(x^*, Tx_{2n+1})}{q(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*) + q(x^*, Tx_{2n+1})} \right\} \right).$$

Since $q_b(x^*, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq q_b(x^*, x_{2n+2})$. Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, on both side, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b(x^*, Tx_{2n+1}) = 0 \quad (25)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, and by using inequalities (23) and (25), we obtain

$$q(x^*, Tx^*) = 0. \quad (26)$$

Now,

$$q(Tx^*, x^*) \leq H_q(Tx^*, Tx_{2n+1}) + q(x_{2n+2}, Tx^*).$$

As inequality (1) hold for x^* , $\alpha^*(x^*, Sx^*) \geq 1$ and $\alpha^*(Sx_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \geq 1$, then

$$q(Tx^*, x^*) \leq \left(\max \left\{ q(x_{2n+1}, x^*), q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \frac{q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})q(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*) + q(x^*, Tx^*)q_b(x^*, Tx_{2n+1})}{q(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*) + q(x^*, Tx_{2n+1})} \right\} \right) + q(x_{2n+2}, x^*).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, and by using inequalities (23) and (26), we obtain

$$q(Tx^*, x^*) = 0. \quad (27)$$

From inequalities (26) and (27), we have $x^* \in Tx^*$. As $\alpha(x^*, Sx^*) \geq 1$ and $q(x^*, Tx^*) = q(Tx^*, x^*) = q(0, 0)$, then from (iii)

$$\alpha^*(Sx^*, x^*) \geq 1. \quad (28)$$

From (24) and (28), we have $\alpha^*(x^*, Sx^*) \geq 1$, $\alpha^*(Sx^*, x^*) \geq 1$. Thus implies $\alpha(x^*, y) \geq 1$, $\alpha(y, x^*) \geq 1$, for all $y \in Sx^*$. Thus by definition 1.9, $x^* = y$. Hence x^* is a common fixed point for S and T . \square

Example 2.1 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $q(x, y) = \begin{cases} x + 2y & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$, for $(x, y) \in X \times X$, then (X, q) be left (right) K -sequentially complete quasi metric space. Consider μ be a function on $[0, \infty)$ define by $\mu(t) = \frac{3t}{4}$. Let \mathcal{R} be the binary relation on X defined by

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \left(x, \frac{x}{4} \right) : x \in \left\{ 0, 1, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{256}, \frac{1}{4096}, \dots \right\} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{4}, x \right) : x \in \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{1024}, \dots \right\} \right\}.$$

Define the pair of multivalued mappings $T, S : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{2} \right] & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ [x + 1, x + 2] & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad Sx = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{x}{4} \right\} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ \{2x\} & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

Define $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follows

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 10) \wedge (x, y) \notin \mathcal{R} \\ 3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$A = \{x : \alpha^*(x, Sx) \geq 1\} = \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{256}, \frac{1}{4096}, \dots\right\}.$$

$$B = \{y : \alpha^*(Sy, y) \geq 1\} = \left\{0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{1024}, \dots\right\}.$$

Let $x_0 = 1$ and $r = 21$, $B(x_0, r) = [0, 10)$. Then,

$$G(S) = \{x : \alpha^*(x, Sx) \geq 1 \text{ and } x \in B_q(x_0, r)\}$$

$$= \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{256}, \dots\right\}.$$

Clearly $G(S)$ is closed and contained x_0 , so condition (iv) is satisfied. Now, as $\frac{1}{4^{n-1}} \in B_q(x_0, r)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$q\left(\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}, T\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}\right) = q\left(\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}\right).$$

and

$$q\left(T\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}\right) = q\left(\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}\right).$$

As $\alpha^*\left(\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}, S\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}\right) \geq 1$, if n is odd, implies $\alpha^*\left(S\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}\right) \geq 1$, if n is odd. Also, $\alpha^*\left(S\frac{1}{4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}\right) \geq 1$, if n is even, implies $\alpha^*\left(\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}, S\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}\right) \geq 1$, if n is even. Also, $0 \in B_{q_b}(x_0, r)$, $q_b(0, T0) = q_b(0, 0)$, $q_b(T0, 0) = q_b(0, 0)$. As $\alpha^*(0, S0) \geq 1$ if and only if $\alpha^*(S0, 0) \geq 1$. Hence, condition (iii) is satisfied. Now, $2, 3 \in B_q(x_0, r)$ with $\alpha^*(S3, 3) \not\geq 1$, $\alpha^*(2, S2) \not\geq 1$,

$$\max\{H_q(T2, T3), H_q(T3, T2)\} = \max\{11, 13\} = 13 \geq P_q(2, 3).$$

So, the contractive condition does not hold on whole $B_q(x_0, r)$. Now, for $11, 12 \in X$ with $\alpha^*(S11, 11) \geq 1$, $\alpha^*(12, S12) \geq 1$

$$\max\{H_q(T11, T12), H_q(T12, T11)\} = \max\{40, 38\} = 40 \geq P_q(x, y).$$

So, the contractive condition does not hold on X and $B_q(x_0, r)$. Now if $x, y \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XTx_n\}$ with $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1$, $\alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$, then in general $x = \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}$, $y = \frac{1}{4^{m-1}}$, where n is even, m is odd.

Case i: For $n \leq m$, we have

$$H(Tx, Ty) = H\left(\left[\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{2 \times 4^{n-1}}\right], \left[\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{m-1}}, \frac{1}{2 \times 4^{m-1}}\right]\right)$$

$$= \max\left\{q\left(\frac{1}{2 \times 4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{4 \times 4^{m-1}}\right), q\left(\frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{2 \times 4^{m-1}}\right)\right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2 \times 4^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2 \times 4^{m-1}}, \frac{1}{4 \times 4^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{4^{m-1}}\right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{\frac{4^{m-n} + 1}{2 \times 4^{m-1}}, \frac{4^{m-n} + 4}{4 \times 4^{m-1}}\right\} = \frac{4^{m-n} + 1}{2 \times 4^{m-1}}.$$

Now,

$$H(Ty, Tx) = \max\left\{\frac{1 + 4^{m-n}}{2 \times 4^{m-1}}, \frac{1 + 4 \times 4^{m-n}}{4 \times 4^{m-1}}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1 + 16 \times 4^{m-n}}{16 \times 4^{m-1}}.$$

Now, we have

$$\frac{1 + 4 \times 4^{m-n}}{4 \times 4^{m-1}} < \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{3}{2 \times 4^{n-1}} \right) \left(\frac{4 \times 4^{m-n} + 2}{4 \times 4^{m-1}} \right) + \left(\frac{3}{2 \times 4^{m-1}} \right) \left(\frac{4 + 2 \times 4^{m-n}}{4 \times 4^{m-1}} \right)}{\left(\frac{4 \times 4^{m-n} + 2}{4 \times 4^{m-1}} \right) + \left(\frac{4 + 2 \times 4^{m-n}}{4 \times 4^{m-1}} \right)} \right),$$

or $\max \{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y))$.

Case ii: Similarly, for $n > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max \{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} &= \frac{1 + 4 \times 4^{n-m}}{4 \times 4^{n-1}} \\ &< \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{1 + 2 \times 4^{n-m}}{4^{n-1}} \right) = \mu(P_q(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Case iii: If $x = 0, y = \frac{1}{4^{m-1}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max \{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4^{m-1}}, \frac{1}{2 \times 4^{m-1}} \right\} = \frac{1}{4^{m-1}} \\ &< \frac{2}{4^{m-1}} = \mu(P_q(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Case iv: If $x = \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}, y = 0$, we get

$$\max \{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} = \frac{1}{4^{n-1}} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y)).$$

Case v: Inequality (1) trivially holds for $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. Also,

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \max \{ \mu^i(q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^i(q(x_0, x_1)) \} = 9 < 21 = r.$$

Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Moreover, T and S have a common fixed point 0.

By taking complete metric space instead of left K -sequentially complete quasi metric space, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $r > 0, x_0 \in X, S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be the multivalued mappings on $B_d(x_0, r), \mu \in \Psi$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(i) for all $x, y \in B_d(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1, \alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$, we have

$$H_d(Tx, Ty) \leq \mu(P_d(x, y)),$$

(ii) $\sum_{i=0}^j \mu^i(d(x_1, x_0)) < r$, for all $j \in N \cup \{0\}$.

(iii) if $x \in B_d(x_0, r), d(x, Tx) = d(x, y)$, then

(a) $\alpha^*(x, Sx) \geq 1$, implies $\alpha^*(Sy, y) \geq 1$,

(b) $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1$, implies $\alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$,

(iv) the set $G(S) = \{x : \alpha(x, Sx) \geq 1 \text{ and } x \in B_d(x_0, r)\}$ is closed and contained x_0 .

Then the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(S)$ and $d(x^*, x^*) = 0$. Also, if inequality (i) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_d(x_0, r)$.

By excluding open ball, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.3 Let (X, q) be a complete left K -sequentially quasi metric space, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty), \mu \in \Psi, x_0 \in X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

(i) For all $x, y \in X \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1, \alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$, we have

$$\max \{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y)),$$

where

$$P_q(x, y) = \max \left\{ q(x, y), q(x, Tx), \frac{q(x, Tx)q(x, Ty) + q(y, Ty)q(y, Tx)}{q(x, Ty) + q(y, Tx)} \right\}.$$

(ii) If $q(x, Tx) = q(x, y)$ and $q(Tx, x) = q(y, x)$, then

(a) $\alpha^*(x, Sx) \geq 1$, implies $\alpha^*(Sy, y) \geq 1$, (b) $\alpha^*(Sx, x) \geq 1$, implies $\alpha^*(y, Sy) \geq 1$,

(iii) The set $G(S) = \{x : \alpha(x, Sx) \geq 1\}$ is closed and contained x_0 .

Then the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(S)$ and $q(x^*, x^*) = 0$. Also, if inequality (i) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in X .

By taking self mappings, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.4 Let (X, q) be a complete left K -sequentially quasi metric space, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function, $r > 0$, $\mu \in \Psi$, $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be the self mappings, $x_0 \in X$ and $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ be a Picard sequence. Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(i) For all $x, y \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{x_n\}$ with $\alpha(Sx, x) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, Sy) \geq 1$, we have

$$\max\{q(Tx, Ty), q(Ty, Tx)\} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y)),$$

where

$$P_q(x, y) = \max \left\{ q(x, y), q(x, Tx), \frac{q(x, Tx)q(x, Ty) + q(y, Ty)q(y, Tx)}{q(x, Ty) + q(y, Tx)} \right\}.$$

(ii) $\sum_{i=0}^j \max\{\mu^i(q(x_1, x_0)), \mu^i(q(x_0, x_1))\} < r$, for all $j \in N \cup \{0\}$.

(iii) if $x \in B_q(x_0, r)$, then

(a) $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(STx, x) \geq 1$,

(b) $\alpha^*(y, x) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(Tx, STx) \geq 1$,

(vi) the set $G(S) = \{x : \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \text{ and } x \in B_q(x_0, r)\}$ is closed and contained x_0 .

Then the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(S)$ and $q(x^*, x^*) = 0$. Also, if inequality (i) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_q(x_0, r)$.

3. Fixed point results for contractions endowed with a graph and a partial order

Consistent with Jachymski [11], let (X, q) be a quasi metric space and Δ denotes the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Consider a directed graph G such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with X and the set $E(G)$ of its edges contains all loops, i.e, $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$. We assume G has no parallel edges, so we can identify G with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. Moreover, we may treat G as a weighted graph [16], by assigning to each edge the distance between its vertices. If x and y are vertices in a graph G , then a path in G from x to y of length $m(m \in N)$ is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ of $m + 1$ vertices such that $x_0 = x$, $x_m = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Definition 3.1 Let (X, q) be a quasi metric space endowed with a graph G and $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be multivalued mappings. Assume that for $r > 0$, $x_0 \in B_q(x_0, r)$ and $\mu \in \Psi$, the following conditions hold:

$$\max\{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y)), \tag{29}$$

for all $x, y \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $\{(y, v) \in E(G) : v \in Sy\}$ and $\{(u, x) \in E(G), u \in Sx\}$, where

$$P_q(x, y) = \max \left\{ q(x, y), q(x, Tx), \frac{q(x, Tx)q(x, Ty) + q(y, Ty)q(y, Tx)}{q(x, Ty) + q(y, Tx)} \right\}.$$

Then the mappings (S, T) are called a μ -graphic contractive multivalued mappings on open ball.

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, q) be a complete left K sequentially quasi metric space endowed with graph G , Let $r > 0$, $x_0 \in B_q(x_0, r)$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be μ -graphic contractive multivalued mappings on $B_q(x_0, r)$. Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

$$(i) \sum_{i=0}^j \max \{ \mu^i q(x_1, x_0), \mu^i q(x_0, x_1) \} < r, \text{ for all } j \in N \cup \{0\}.$$

(ii) If $x \in B_q(x_0, r)$, $q(x, Tx) = q(x, y)$ and $q(Tx, x) = q(y, x)$, then

(a) $(x, u) \in E(G)$, for all $u \in Sx$ implies $(v, y) \in E(G)$, for all $v \in Sy$,

(b) $(u, x) \in E(G)$, for all $u \in Sx$ implies $(y, v) \in E(G)$, for all $v \in Sy$.

(iii) The set $G(S) = \{x : (x, y) \in E(G) \text{ for all } y \in Sx \text{ and } x \in B_q(x_0, r)\}$ is closed and contained x_0 .

Then the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(S)$. Also, if inequality (29) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_q(x_0, r)$.

Proof. Define $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, by $\alpha(y, v) = 1$, for all $v \in Sy$, if and only if $y \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $\{(y, v) \in E(G) : v \in Sy\}$. Also $\alpha(u, x) = 1$, for all $u \in Sx$, if and only if $x \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $\{(u, x) \in E(G), u \in Sx\}$. Moreover $\alpha(x, y) = 0$, otherwise. Now, as (S, T) is a μ -graphic contractive multivalued mappings on open ball, so inequality (29), implies inequality (1). Assumption (i) of Theorem 3.1 implies assumption (ii) of Theorem 2.1. Assumption (ii) of Theorem 3.2 implies assumption (iii) of Theorem 2.1. Assumption (iii) of Theorem 3.2 implies assumption (iv) of Theorem 2.1. So, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Hence the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$, for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(s)$. Also if inequality (29) holds for x^* , then inequality (1) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_q(x_0, r)$. \square

Definition 3.2 [16] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that T is non decreasing with respect to \preceq if $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y \Rightarrow Tx \preceq Ty$.

Definition 3.3 [16] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X . We say that (X, \preceq, d) is regular if for every nondecreasing sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$ for all k .

Now, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.2 Let (X, \preceq, q) be an ordered complete left K sequentially quasi metric space, $r > 0$, $x_0 \in X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a non decreasing mappings on $B_q(x_0, r)$, with respect to \preceq and suppose there exists a function $\mu \in \Psi$ satisfy the following:

(i) for all $(x, y) \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $Sx \preceq x$ and $y \preceq Sy$, we have

$$\max\{H_q(Tx, Ty), H_q(Ty, Tx)\} \leq \mu(P_q(x, y)), \quad (30)$$

where

$$P_q(x, y) = \max \left\{ q(x, y), q(x, Tx), \frac{q(x, Tx)q(x, Ty) + q(y, Ty)q(y, Tx)}{q(x, Ty) + q(y, Tx)} \right\}.$$

$$(ii) \sum_{i=0}^j \max \{ \mu^i q(x_1, x_0), \mu^i q(x_0, x_1) \} < r, \text{ for all } j \in N \cup \{0\}.$$

(iii) If $x \in B_q(x_0, r)$, $q(x, Tx) = q(x, y)$ and $q(Tx, x) = q(y, x)$, then

(a) $x \preceq Sx$, implies $Sy \preceq y$, (b) $Sx \preceq x$, implies $y \preceq Sy$.

(iv) The set $G(S) = \{x : x \preceq Sx \text{ and } x \in B_q(x_0, r)\}$ is closed and contained x_0 .

Then the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$, for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ and $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(S)$. Also if inequality (i) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_q(x_0, r)$.

Proof. Define $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, by $\alpha(y, v) = 1$, for all $v \in Sy$, if and only if $y \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $y \preceq v$, $v \in Sx$. Also $\alpha(u, x) = 1$, for all $u \in Sx$, if and only if $x \in B_q(x_0, r) \cap \{XT(x_n)\}$ with $x \succeq u$, $u \in Sx$. Moreover $\alpha(x, y) = 0$, otherwise. Then, clearly Assumption (i)-(iv) of Theorem 3.2 implies assumption (i)-(iv) of Theorem 2.1. Hence the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ of $\{XT(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in $G(S)$, for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ and a sequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow x^* \in G(s)$. Also, if inequality (i) holds for x^* , then inequality (2.1) holds for x^* . Then T and S have a common fixed point x^* in $B_q(x_0, r)$. \square

Remark 3.1. By taking six proper subsets of $P_q(x, y)$ instead of $P_q(x, y)$, we can obtain six new corollaries for each of theorems; Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.2. Fixed point result in right K sequentially quasi metric space can be obtained in a similar way.

4. Conclusion

In the present paper, we have obtained sufficient conditions to ensure the existence of fixed point for a pair of multivalued mappings satisfying $\mu - \alpha^*$ contractive condition on a sequence contained in an open ball. An example is given to demonstrate the variety of our results. Fixed point results with graphic contractions on a sequence contained in an open ball for such mappings are also established. Results endowed with a partial order have been obtained. Moreover, we investigate our results in a better framework of quasi-metric spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] *M. U. Ali, T. Kamran and M. Postolache*, Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b -metric spaces via new fixed point theorem, *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling Control*, **22**(2017), No. 1, 17-30.
- [2] *I. Altun, N. A. Arifi, M. Jleli, A. Lashin and B. Samet*, A New Approach for the Approximations of Solutions to a Common Fixed Point Problem in Metric Fixed Point Theory, *Journal of Function Spaces*, **2016**(2016), Art. ID 6759320.
- [3] *H. Aydi, M. F. Bota, E. Karapinar and S. Mitrović*, Fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2012**(2012), Art. No. **88**.
- [4] *M. Arshad, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, A. Shoaib and S. Shukla*, Fixed Points of α -Dominated Mappings on Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces, *Filomat*, **31**(2017), No. 11, 3041–3056.
- [5] *M. Arshad, A. Shoaib and P. Vetro*, Common fixed points of a pair of Hardy-Rogers type mappings on a closed ball in ordered dislocated metric spaces, *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, **2013**(2013), Art. ID 638181.
- [6] *I. Beg, M. Arshad, A. Shoaib*, Fixed Point on a Closed Ball in ordered dislocated Metric Space, *Fixed Point Theory*, **16**(2015), No. 2, 195-206.
- [7] *V. Berinde*, Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces, in *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory*, Preprint., **3** (1993), 3–9.
- [8] *N. Bilgili, E. Karapinar and B. Samet*, Generalized $\alpha - \psi$ contractive mappings in quasi-metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, **2014**(2014), Art. No. 36.
- [9] *B. S. Choudhury, N. Metiya and M. Postolache*, A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**(2013), Art. No. **152**.
- [10] *V. Gregoria and S. Romaguera*, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in quasi metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **115** (2000), No. 3, 477–483.
- [11] *J. Jachymski*, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (2008), No. 1, 1359-1373.
- [12] *T. Kamran, M. Postolache, M.U. Ali and Q. Kiran*, Feng and Liu type F -contraction in b -metric spaces with application to integral equations, *J. Math. Anal.*, **7**(2016), No. 5, 18-27.
- [13] *M. S. Khan*, A fixed point theorem for metric spaces, *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste.*, **8** (1976), 69-72
- [14] *J. Marín, S. Romaguera and P. Tirado*, Weakly contractive multivalued maps and wdistances on complete quasi-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, **2011**(2011), Art. No. **2**.
- [15] *S. B. Nadler*, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **30**(1969), 475-478.
- [16] *A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings*, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **132**(2004), No. 5, 1435-1443.

-
- [17] *T. Rasham, A. Shoaib, B. S. Alamri, M. Arshad*, Multivalued fixed point results for new generalized F -Dominted contractive mappings on dislocated metric space with application, *Journal of Function Spaces*, **2018**(2018), Art. ID 4808764.
- [18] *T. Rasham, A. Shoaib, N. Hussain, M. Arshad, S.U. Khan*, Common fixed point results for new Ciric-type rational multivalued F -contraction with an application, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **20**(2018), Art. No. 45.
- [19] *D. O'Regan and A. Petruşel*, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **341** (2008), No. 2, 1241-1252.
- [20] *I. L. Reilly, P. V. Semirahmanya and, M. K. Vamanamurthy*, Cauchy sequences in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces, *Monatsh. Math.*, **93**(1982), 127-140.
- [21] *A. Shahzad, A. Shoaib and Q. Mahmood*, Fixed Point Theorems for Fuzzy Mappings in b- Metric Space. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. 38, 2017, 419-427.
- [22] *W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea and R. Lazovic*, Contraction conditions using comparison functions on b-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014**(2014), Art. No. **135**.
- [23] *W. Shatanawi and M. Postolache*, Common fixed point results of mappings for nonlinear contractions of cyclic form in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**(2013), Art. No. **60**.
- [24] *W. Shatanawi and M. Postolache*, Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**(2013), Art. No. **54**.
- [25] *A. Shoaib, A. Hussain, M. Arshad, and A. Azam*, Fixed point results for α_* - ψ -Ciric type multivalued mappings on an intersection of a closed ball and a sequence with graph, *J. Math. Anal.*, 7(2016), No. 3, 41-50.
- [26] *A. Shoaib, P. Kumam, A. Shahzad, S. Phiangsungnoen and Q. Mahmood*, Fixed point results for fuzzy mappings in a b-metric space, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2018, Art. No. 2, 2018.
- [27] *A. Shoaib*, Fixed Point Results for α_* - ψ -multivalued Mappings, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **8**(2016), No. 4, 43-55.
- [28] *W.A. Wilson*, On quasi metric spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **53**(1931), No. 3, 675-684.